

Interview Summary

Application N .

09/813,091

Applicant(s)

LEDERGERBER, WALTER J.

Examiner

DAVID J ISABELLA

Art Unit

3738

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID J ISABELLA.

(3) _____.

(2) DAVID MURPHY.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2003 .

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____ .

Claim(s) discussed: ALL PENDING CLAIMS .

Identification of prior art discussed: NA .

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed incorporation by reference to an earlier filed application. Applicant is attempting to focus on essential material to an earlier filed application as the basis for the amendments to the claims. Examiner will review the rules and MPEP procedures and act accordingly .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required